

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Albress COMMISSIONER F PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D (* 2022) www.ospro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/740,858	12/21/2000	Masao Fukuyama	50427-726	6031
7	590 02 13 2003			
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER	
600 13th Stree Washington, D	d, N.W. C 20005-3096		PERALTA, GINETTE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/740,858	FUKUYAMA ET AL.	K-
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ginette Peralta	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by soon and the period for reply will, by soon of the period for reply will, by soon of the period for reply will, by soon of the period for reply will.	DN. IR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the griod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 January 2003 .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al		natters, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	ider <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner	
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	; § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) \boxtimes All b) \square Some * c) \square None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents. 	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa* See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	;
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don			cation).
a) The translation of the foreign language			*
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor			
Attachment(s)	,. —	C	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 	3) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
~ Patent and Trademark Office		5	

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hung et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,069,442).

Regarding claim 14, Hung et al. discloses in Fig. 2 an organic electroluminescent device that comprises a pair of electrodes (204, 206), and a layer structure sandwiched between the paired electrodes and including a charge transport layer (216, 218) and an emission layer (214), wherein the charge transport layer has a charge transport interference sub-layer therein, and the sub-layer is made of an inorganic compound or a metal.

Regarding claim 17, Hung et al. discloses that the sub-layer is made of an inorganic compound selected from oxides, halides or nitrides (col. 4, ll. 9-35).

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding claim 18, Hung et al. discloses that the sub-layer comprises a metal (col. 4, ll. 9-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set torth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hung et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,208,075 B1).

Regarding claim 13, Hung et al. discloses in Fig. 3 and Example 5, an organic electroluminescent device that comprises a pair of electrodes (304, 308), and a layer structure sandwiched between the paired electrodes and including an organic layer (306, 312, 316) capable of transporting electrons or holes and an emission layer (314), wherein the organic layer has a charge transport interference sub-layer (306) therein.

Hung et al discloses the claimed invention with the exception of stating that the sub-layer has an ionization potential greater than the hole transport material or having an electron affinity smaller than the electron transport material. Hung et al. discloses that when the organic layer consists of a hole transport layer made of a hole transport material so that the sub-layer is made of an organic material that as shown in fig. 7 and

Art Unit: 2814

in col. 11, II. 46-55 is quite conductive and shows a faster rising I-V curve, which is indicative that the polymer has an ionization potential greater than the hole transport material of the organic layer. Thus, it is an inherent property of the layer 306 that the ionization potential is greater than the hole transport material as shown by its conduction enhancing properties.

Regarding claim 14, Hung et al. discloses in fig. 3 and example 5 an organic electroluminescent device comprising a pair of electrodes (304, 308), and a layer structure sandwiched between the paired electrodes and including a charge transport layer and an emission layer (314) wherein the charge transport layer has a charge transport interference sub-layer therein, and the sub-layer is made of a mixture of both a hole transport material and an electron transport material.

Regarding claim 15, Hung et al. discloses the sub-layer being made of the mixture.

Regarding claim 16, Hung et al. discloses the claimed invention with the exception of ratio of the materials, but as the claimed ratio is in the range of 1:99 to 99:1, any composition, including the one taught by Hung et al. is included in the ratio.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ginette Peralta whose telephone number is (703)305-7722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 AM- 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703)308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

GP February 7, 2003

West 1/1

TECHNOLOGI OF THE